Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/568,712	GERGELY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HASAN AHMED	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>98</u>	
(1) <u>HASAN AHMED</u> .	(3)	
(2) Martha Cassidy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 April 2011	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicants' representative of a printer rush dated 6 April 2011 indicating that: (a) original claim 45 does not end with a period; and (b) original claim 66 depends on original claim 53, which has been cancelled. Applicants' representative indicated that: (a) the second period in original claim 36 should be deleted; (b) a period should be added at the end of original claim 45; and (c) in original claim 66, "53" should be deleted and replaced with "51".